

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

SHALAINE JOHNSON,	)
Plaintiff,	Case Co. L. C.
v. ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO., a corporation; SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION,	Case Co. Company  Related to General Electric Company  v. Billy E. Adkins, Administrator of the  Estate of Helena R. Adkins, Deceased,
a corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation; GENERAL SIGNAL CO., a corporation;	MAGISTRATE HIDGE LEVIN
BIRMINGHAM STEEL COMPANY, a corporation; MELCO TRANSFER, INC., an Illinois corporation; and	FILED-E MAR 28 P S. DISTRIC
JOHN STOKES,  Defendants.	DOCKETED OF COURT
	MAR 2 9 2001

#### **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, please take notice that Defendant General Electric Company ("General Electric") has removed this case from the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (Case No. 99 L 3195 M, Consolidated with 99 L 3194 M), in which it is currently pending, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. In support of this removal, General Electric states as follows:

1. This action is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, and 1441 under this Court's federal question jurisdiction. In particular, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because it arises under the laws of the United States within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1331, in that Plaintiff's Amended State Court Complaint, on its face, asserts claims based upon alleged "non-compliance with applicable standards, customs, practices, guidelines or rules," all of which are purely and exclusively federal in nature.

PI-1046623v1 765625 - 048002

- 2. In particular, the U.S. Secretary of Transportation, acting through the Federal Railroad Administration, has promulgated regulations governing the design, manufacture, construction and materials related to locomotives and their fuel systems. See 49 C.F.R. §§ 229.93, 229.95, 229.97, 229.101, 229.45, 238.03, 238.05, 238.09, 238.223 and 238.423.
- 3. In addition, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action because it arises under the laws of the United States within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1331, in that the claims at issue are completely preempted and governed by federal statutes and regulations, including the provisions of the Locomotive Inspection Act, 49 U.S.C. § 20701, et seq. (formerly the "Boiler Inspection Act").
- 4. On or about March 22, 1999, Plaintiff Shalaine Johnson ("Plaintiff") commenced this action by filing a Complaint against certain defendants, but not General Electric, in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims arise from an unusually severe derailment of an Amtrak passenger train after it struck a tractor-trailer truck at a crossing in Bourbonnais, Illinois on March 15, 1999.
- 6. On or about February 28, 2001, General Electric, the co-designer and supplier of the "Genesis" locomotives pulling the Amtrak passenger train at the time of the March 15, 1999 derailment, was served with Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, adding General Electric to her pending action in Cook County Circuit Court.
- 7. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint asserts claims against General Electric of alleged defects or negligence in the design, manufacture, construction, performance, and choice of materials of the Genesis locomotive.
- 8. It has long been settled that Congress intended federal law, pursuant to the Locomotive Inspection Act, to occupy completely the entire field of locomotive equipment and safety. Thus, the Locomotive Inspection Act's complete field preemption precludes any state effort

٦,

į,

to regulate locomotive design or construction. <u>See, e.g., Napier v. Atl. Coast Line R.R., 272 U.S.</u> 605, 612-13 (1926). Complete field preemption is a doctrine of federal jurisdiction. <u>See, e.g., Moran v. Rush Prudential HMO, Inc., 230 F.3d 959, 967 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000).</u>

- 9. Through the Locomotive Inspection Act, the federal government has established a comprehensive, national regime of locomotive regulation which preempts every state effort, including tort litigation, to establish independent standards for the design, manufacture, construction, performance and materials of locomotives.
- 10. Because complete preemption is necessary to maintain uniformity of railroad operating standards across state lines and to avoid immediate interference with interstate commerce and travel, state common law claims which seek to impose requirements within the field of locomotive safety are completely preempted, even if no specific federal regulation covers the subject of the alleged defective or negligent design, manufacture, construction or choice of material.
- 11. Imposing state common law tort or warranty liability upon locomotive manufacturers would impermissibly force them to conform to design, construction and material standards imposed by the states, transferring regulatory power from the Secretary of Transportation to the state courts. The Locomotive Inspection Act forecloses this adverse result.
- 12. Thus, Plaintiff's state common law tort and implied warranty claims, including those related to alleged defects or negligence in the design, manufacture, construction, performance, and choice of materials of the Genesis locomotive, are completely preempted because they fall within a field that Congress intended the federal government to occupy exclusively.
- 13. Accordingly, based upon the federal question alleged on the face of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and based upon the complete federal preemption of all claims alleged in the

ζ,

Ŷ

Amended Complaint, this action is properly removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (c).

- 14. This Notice is being filed within thirty (30) days of service of Plaintiff's initial pleading on General Electric and is therefore timely and proper pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).
- 15. All of the properly named defendants that are legal entities subject to service of process consent to the removal of this case to Federal District Court. See Letters of Consent attached as Exhibit A.
- 16. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), General Electric attaches as Exhibit B a copy of all process, pleadings, orders and other papers of every kind related to this action that have been served upon General Electric.
- 17. Written notification of the filing of this Notice of Removal, together with a copy of the Notice of Removal, will be provided to the Plaintiff and will be filed with the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

Ŧ,

ŝ

WHEREFORE, General Electric requests that this case be removed from the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and that there be no further proceeding in Case No. 99 L 3195 M filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

Date: March 28, 2001

ζ.

\$

Respectfully submitted,

Dayliel E. Reidy

June K. Ghezzi

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

77 West Wacker

Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692

(312) 782-3939

Attorneys for Defendant General Electric Company

#### Of Counsel:

Paul M. Pohl Charles H. Moellenberg, Jr. JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE One Mellon Bank Center 500 Grant Street, Suite 3100 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 391-3939

David B. Potter
Jennifer K. Eggers
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP
3400 Plaza VII Building
45 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 607-7000

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that on March <u>28</u>, 2001, a true and correct copy of Defendant General Electric Company's Notice of Removal was served, via first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, on the following:

#### ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Michael K. Demetrio David C. Wise CORBOY & DEMETRIO, P.C. 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60602

## ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY and the NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (AMTRAK)

Susan Laing ANDERSON BENNETT & PARTNERS 55 E. Monroe Street, Ste. 3650 Chicago, IL 60603

#### MELCO TRANSFER and JOHN R. STOKES

Bradford S. Purcell PURCELL & WARDROPE CHTD. 300 South Wacker Drive Suite 80 Chicago, IL 60606

#### SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION

James B. Cohoon BISTLINE & COHOON, A Law Corporation 23456 Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 130 Torrance, CA 90505

#### GENERAL SIGNAL CO.

Ross B. Bricker JENNER & BLOCK One IBM Plaza Chicago, IL 60611 Case: 1:01-cv-02180 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/28/01 Page 7 of 44 PageID #:7

#### **BIRMINGHAM STEEL**

Robert J. Franco II BOLLINGER, RUBERRY & GARVEY 500 West Madison Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Counsel for General Electric

Case: 1:01-cv-02180 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/28/01 Page 8 of 44 PageID #:8

# EXHIBIT A

Case: 1:01-cv-02180 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/28/01 Page 9 of 44 PageID #:9

03/28/2001 10:54

UTLIAM O ANDERSON III ECTRICIA I. BARRER M. ACLIANTITA BERNETI MARY BLIEN BUSCH CHAD M. CASTRO MARIJER CLAUERIC PATRICIA J. FOLIZ 4123947959

JONES DAY

PAGE 03/03

03/27/2001 17:39 FAX 612 607 Mar-27-01 10:24am From-ANDERSON ETT OPPENHEIMER LAW FIRM

T-832 P.002/002 F-528

### ANDERSON, BENNETT & PARTNERS

19 PAST MONROE SUITE 3650 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 (312) 673-7800

FACSINGLE (312) 678-7781

Laura I. Ginett Dane I. Fendings Susan K. Laing Mare I. Lura Jason A. Mason E. Dirnis Mason E. Dirnis Mason E. Dirnis Mason

March 27, 2001

#### VIA FACSIMILE

Ms. Jeanlfer Eggers
Oppenheimer, Wolff & Donnelly
Plaza VII, Suite 3400
45 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Re: Bourbonnals Litigation

Dear Ma. Eggers:

This confirms our convergation earlier today in which I stated that my clients, Illinois Central Railroad Company and National Railroad Passenger Corporation, consent to the removal to federal court of all cases arising out of the March 15, 1999, Bourbonnais accident in which the Illinois Central and/or Amtrak are parties.

Yours very truly,

ANDERSON, BENNETT & PARTNERS

By: Susan K. Laing

Granulary.

SKL:dm

MAR 28 '01 13:21 FR JONES DAY PGH

412 394 7959 TO CHICAGO

P.02/05

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

500 GRANT STREET . SUITE STOO

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219-2502

TELEPHONE: 412-391-3939 . FACSIMILE: 412-394-7959

writer's DIRECT NUMBER: 412-394-7231 jpdonohue@jonesday.com

305216 762625-048002 1047111v1

March 28, 2001

#### VIA FACSIMILE (312) 466-8001

Vincent Tomkiewicz, Esq. Bollinger, Ruberry & Garvey 500 West Madison Street, 23rd Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Re:

Bourbonnais Derailment Cases

Dear Mr. Tomkiewicz:

This will confirm our telephone conversation of today and Mickey Pohl's telephone conversation with Robert Franco of March 22, 2001, wherein you and Mr. Franco indicated on behalf of your client, Birmingham Steel Company, that you consent to the removal of the cases listed on Attachment A, appended hereto, dealing with those arising from the Bourbonnais derailment.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions or wish to discuss this further.

Very truly yours,

Joseph P. Donohue

cc: Paul Michael Pohl, Esq.

Case: 1:01-cv-02180 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/28/01 Page 11 of 44 PageID #:11

03/28/2001 10:54

4123947959

JONES DAY

PAGE 02/03

Sent By: PURCELL & WARDROPE;

J 312 427 3944;

Mar - 27 - 0 :48PM;

Page 2/2

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

BOO GRANT STREET . SUITE SIOO

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219-2502

TELEPHONE: 412-391-3939 - FACSIMILE: 412-394-7959

waitere diaect NUMBER. 412-394-7900 pmpohi@jonesday.com

305216 765625-048002 1046923v1

March 26, 2001

#### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Brad Purcell, Esq.
Purcell & Wardrope
300 South Wacker Drive, 8th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606

Re:

Bourbonnais Derailment Cases

Dear Mr. Purcell:

This will confirm our telephone conversation of March 21, 2001, wherein you indicated on behalf of your clients, Molco Transfer, Inc. and John Stokes, that you consent to the removal of the cases listed in Attachment A, appended hereto.

I have enclosed a draft of General Electric's Notice of Removal for your review. To confirm your consent to removal, please sign this letter and return it to me by facsimile at (412) 394-7959.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions or wish to discuss this further.

Very truly yours,

Michay Poll / JPD
Paul Michael Pobl

AGREED:/\_\_

Brad Purcell

Counsel for Melco Transfer, inc.

and John Stokes

#### BISTLINE & COHOON

A LAW CORPORATION

JAMES B. COHOON GREGORY D. BISTLINE TED H. LUYMES GREGORY A. DILTS ADRIENNE R. HAHN JOHN M.C. REILLY

SKYPARK BLDG. 5, SUITE 130 23456 HAWTHORNE BOULEVARD TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA 90505-4717 (310) 378-6360 FAX: (310) 378-6352

E-MAIL: law@bistlinecohoon.com

March 27, 2001

#### VIA UPS OVERNIGHT MAIL

June Ghezzi, Esq. Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692

RE: Bourbonnais Train Accident Cases

Our Client: Safetran Systems Corporation

Dear Ms. Ghezzi:

At the request of your colleague Joseph Donohue, we are sending you a copy of his letter to Jim Cohoon, bearing Mr. Cohoon's original signature, indicating his agreement to your petition for removal.

Please give us a call with any questions you may have regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

BISTLINE & COHOON A Law Corporation

CHRIS M. CAMERON

Legal Assistant to James B. Cohoon

PASADENA OFFICE

2500 E. COLORADO BLVD.

SUITE 340

PASADENA, CA 91107-3766

(626) 440-0591

FAX: (626) 440-0691

#### LAW OFFICES

### JENNER & BLOCK, LLC

ONE IBM PLAZA CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611

> FRU: (312) 222-4550 FUC (312) 827-0464

> > WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL: (312) 023-4524 WRITER'S DIRECT FAX: (312) 640-7524 INTERNET ADDRESS: IDICKSI@IOTROCCOM

March 28, 2001

#### VIA FACSIMILE

Jennifer K. Eggers, Esq.
Oppenheimer, Wolff & Donnelly
Plaza VII, Suite 3400
45 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Re: Bourbonnais Litigation

Dear Ms. Eggers:

This letter confirms that my client, General Signal Company, consents to the removal to federal court of all cases arising out of the March 1999 Bourbonnais accident in which General Signal Company is named as a party defendant.

Sinterely,

Ross B. Bricker

RBB/cd

cc: Roibin J. Ryan, Esq.

LAKE FOREST OFFICE ONE WESTHWISTER PLACE LAKEFOREST, E. 600-6 PAR 18471298-9200 FAR 18471298-7810 Warmnotom office solt thrterith street, w.w. buye 1200 south Warmneton, D.C. Rodds first Eduz 629-6000 fac (802) 629-6088

# EXHIBIT B

#### Case: 1:01-cv-02180 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/28/01 Page 15 of 2120 - Served 2121 - Served 2220 - Not Served 2221 - Not Served 2321 - Served By Mail 2320 - Served By Mail 2421 - Served By Publication 2420 - Served By Publication ALIAS - SUMMONS **SUMMONS** (7-90) CCG-I MKD/DCW/mm 2/23/01 #02329 99-803 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS **COUNTY DEPARTMENT - LAW DIVISION** SHALAINE JOHNSON. Plaintiff, No. 99 L 3195 M Consolidated with 99 L 3194 PLEASE SERVE: ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO., SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST a corporation; SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation; GENERAL SIGNAL CO., a corporation; BIRMINGHAM STEEL COMPANY, a corporation; MELCO TRANSFER, INC., an Illinois corporation; and JOHN STOKES, Defendants. SUMMONS

#### To each defendant:

YOU ARE SUMMONED and required to file an answer in this case, or otherwise file your appearance in the office of the clerk of this court (located in the Richard J. Daley Center, Room \* 801, Chicago, Illinois 60602) within 30 days after service of this summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE TAKEN AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE COMPLAINT, A COPY OF WHICH IS HERETO ATTACHED.

#### To the officer:

This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of service and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date.

Name:

David C. Wise/Corboy & Demetrio

Attorney for:

Plaintiff

Address:

33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 2100

City:

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Telephone:

312-346-3191

Atty. No.:

02329

AURELIA PUCINSKI, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

\*Law Division Room 801 Chancery-Divorce Division Room 802 County Division Room 801 Probate Division Room 1202

#### **SERVICE LIST**

- Safetran Systems Corporation
   c/o CT Corporation System
   208 South LaSalle Street
   Chicago, Illinois 60604
- General Electric Company c/o CT Corporation System
   South LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60604
- 3. **Birmingham Steel Corporation**c/o The Illinois Corporation Service Company
  700 South 2nd Street
  Springfield, Illinois 62704
- 4. General Signal Corporation c/o The Corporation Trust Company 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

MKD/DCW/mm

99-803

2/19/01

#02329

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT - LAW DIVISION

SHALAINE JOHNSON,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO., a corporation; SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a corporation; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation; GENERAL SIGNAL CO., a corporation; BIRMINGHAM STEEL COMPANY, a corporation; MELCO TRANSFER, INC., an Illinois corporation; and JOHN STOKES,	) No. ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	99 L 3195 M Consolidated with 99 L 340451  Page 15 September 15 Septem
Defendants	í	

#### AMENDED COMPLAINT AT LAW

Plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, complaining of defendants, ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO., a corporation (hereinafter referred to as I.C.), SAFETRAN SYSTEMS, CORPORATION, a corporation (hereinafter referred to as SAFETRAN), GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation (hereinafter referred to as G.E.), GENERAL SIGNAL CO., a corporation (hereinafter referred to as GENERAL SIGNAL), BIRMINGHAM STEEL COMPANY, a corporation (hereinafter referred to as BIRMINGHAM), MELCO TRANSFER. INC., an Illinois corporation (hereinafter referred to as MELCO), and JOHN STOKES (hereinafter referred to as STOKES), states:

#### COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled certain railroad tracks running in generally a north/south direction at the grade crossing intersection with McKnight Road, an east/west public highway in Bourbonnais. Illinois.
- 2. On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled the railroad grade crossing/signal protection system and siding tracks located at and near the McKnight Road crossing.
- 3. On March 15, 1999 at the time and place aforesaid, Amtrak operated a passenger train commonly known as the "City of New Orleans" southbound on the I.C. railroad tracks toward the McKnight Road crossing.
- 4. At said time and place, STOKES was driving a semi-tractor trailer truck eastbound on McKnight Road toward the I.C. grade crossing.
- 5. At said time and place, as the Amtrak train approached the McKnight Road crossing, the grade crossing/signal protection system failed to give proper or suitable warning to STOKES of the approach of the train.
  - 6. At said time and place, the semi-tractor trailer entered the McKnight crossing.
- 7. At said time and place, as STOKES was traversing the McKnight Road crossing, the Amtrak train crashed into the semi-tractor trailer truck.
- 8. SHALAINE JOHNSON was a passenger on board the train and was injured in the crash.
- 9. On and before March 15, 1999, G.E. was in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing train engines/locomotives.

- 10. On and before March 15, 1999, G.E. was a merchant in the business of designing. manufacturing, selling and distributing train engines/locomotives.
- 11. Prior to March 15, 1999, G.E. designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and placed certain train engines/locomotives into the stream of commerce.
- 12. Prior to March 15, 1999, in its contract for sale, G.E. impliedly warranted that the train engines/locomotives were merchantable.
- 13. Prior to March 15, 1999, in its contract for sale, G.E. impliedly warranted that the train engines/locomotives were fit for the particular purpose of safely withstanding a collision with a foreseeable vehicle at a grade crossing and protecting passengers and crew.
- 14. Prior to March 15, 1999, and at the time it sold the train engines/locomotives, G.E. knew that train passengers and crew members were intended beneficiaries of the implied warranties.
- 15. On March 15, 1999, G.E. train engines/locomotives were being operated as part of the Amtrak City of New Orleans train.
- 16. At said time and place, after the collision and ensuing crash, one or more fuel tanks on the G.E. engines/locomotives ruptured and allowed fuel to escape.
- 17. At said time and place, the fuel from the G.E. fuel tanks flowed into or near the sleeper cars, ignited, burned and injured and killed passengers.
- 18. On and before March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL was in the business of designing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, installing and/or maintaining "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" ("crossing gates").
  - 19. On and before March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL was a merchant in the

business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" ("crossing gates").

- 20. Prior to March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" that were in place at the McKnight Road crossing.
- 21. Prior to March 15, 1999, and in its contract for sale, GENERAL SIGNAL impliedly warranted that the "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" system was merchantable.
- 22. Prior to March 15, 1999, and in its contract for sale, GENERAL SIGNAL impliedly warranted that the "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" system was fit for the particular purpose of correctly timing train crossings and giving suitable warning to passing motor vehicles.
- 23. Prior to March 15, 1999, and at the time it sold the "GENERAL SIGNAL Automatic Highway Crossing Gates" system, GENERAL SIGNAL knew that train passengers and crew members were intended beneficiaries of GENERAL SIGNAL's implied warranties.
- 24. On March 15, 1999 as the Amtrak train was approaching the McKnight grade crossing, the GENERAL SIGNAL gates failed to properly operate and activate.
- 25. On and before March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN was in the business of designing, manufacturing, distributing and selling grade crossing protective systems ("GCPS") including the SAFETRAN 3000 system.
- 26. On and before March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN was a merchant in the business of designing, manufacturing, selling and distributing grade crossing protective systems including

the SAFETRAN 3000 system.

- 27. Prior to March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a SAFETRAN 3000 system to I.C. and participated in the placement, installation and or monitoring of the GCPS at the McKnight Road grade crossing as well as the GCPS at the crossings to the immediate north and south of the McKnight crossing.
- 28. Prior to March 15, 1999, and in its contract for sale, SAFETRAN impliedly warranted that the GCPS was merchantable.
- 29. Prior to March 15, 1999, in its contract for sale, SAFETRAN impliedly warranted that the GCPS was fit for the particular purpose of correctly coordinating the GCPS with the passing of trains across the crossing.
- 30. Prior to March 15, 1999, and at the time it sold the aforesaid GCPS, SAFETRAN knew that train passengers and crew members were intended beneficiaries of SAFETRAN's implied warranties.
- 31. On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. retained the right to control the engineer of the Amtrak train by requiring that he operate that train pursuant to the rules of the I.C. and follow the directives of I.C. employees or agents.
- 32. On and before March 15, 1999, the Amtrak train engineer was an agent of I.C. and was acting in the scope of and in furtherance of that relationship.
- 33. On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. placed or allowed rail cars to be placed on the siding track immediately to the north of the railroad crossing which limited the line of sight of those attempting to cross the grade crossing and the line of sight of the City of New Orleans' train engineer.

- On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. placed or allowed railroad cars to be placed on the side track immediately south of the crossing, which collided with the engine of the City of New Orleans, causing the train's engines to derail, tip over and/or release fuel.
- 35. On and before March 15, 1999, BIRMINGHAM owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled a facility located near the McKnight Road crossing.
- 36. At said time and place, BIRMINGHAM caused and/or allowed semi-tractor trailers loaded with steel products to leave its facility at a location immediately to the west of the McKnight Road crossing, with the only path of egress being to traverse McKnight Road eastbound and cross the railroad tracks.
- At said time and place, BIRMINGHAM knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that high speed rail traffic operated by Amtrak utilized the tracks and McKnight crossing which BIRMINGHAM's trucks were required to cross when leaving the BIRMINGHAM facility.
- 38. On or before March 15, 1999, BIRMINGHAM requested and/or directed STOKES and/or his employer, MELCO, to come to the BIRMINGHAM facility for the purpose of loading the semi-tractor trailer with steel ribar so STOKES could deliver the ribar to a, customer of BIRMINGHAM's.
- 39. On March 15, 1999, BIRMINGHAM loaded STOKES's semi-tractor trailer.

  provided STOKES with bills of lading for the delivery of the ribar, directed STOKES to whom, where and when to deliver the ribar and allowed STOKES to leave its facility along McKnight Road directly to the west of the aforesaid railroad crossing.
  - 40. At said time and place, STOKES was the agent or employee of BIRMINGHAM.

and BIRMINGHAM retained the right to control the conduct and actions of STOKES with respect to the pickup, loading, movement and delivery of the aforesaid ribar.

- 41. At said time and place, BIRMINGHAM owned, operated, managed, maintained and controlled side tracking immediately to the south of the McKnight Road crossing which BIRMINGHAM utilized to bring railroad cars onto its facility.
- 42. At said time and place, BIRMINGHAM placed or allowed rail cars to be placed on its siding track immediately to the south of the McKnight Road crossing and the lead engines of the City of New Orleans struck those rail cars resulting in the engines turning over.
- At said time and place, under the apparent authority of the Illinois

  Commerce Commission, MELCO and STOKES, and each of them, were transporting large amounts of steel on behalf of BIRMINGHAM.
- At said time and place, STOKES was the employee and/or agent of MELCO and was working in the scope of his employment.
- 45. At said time and place, BIRMINGHAM retained the right to control MELCO and STOKES.
- 46. At said time and place, MELCO and STOKES were agents of BIRMINGHAM and were acting within the scope of and in furtherance of that relationship.
- 47. SHALAINE JOHNSON was a passenger on board the train and was injured in the crash.

#### Count I

#### Defendant, Illinois Central Railroad - Negligence

48. On and before March 15, 1999, I.C. was negligent in one or more of the following respects:

- a. Failed to properly or adequately install, operate, inspect.
  repair or maintain the grade crossing protection system and
  its various component parts at the aforesaid railroad
  crossing;
- b. Allowed the line of sight for those attempting to cross the grade crossing to be obscured by allowing train cars to be placed and/or kept on the side track immediately to the north of a grade crossing;
- c. Allowed the line of sight for the engineer of the southbound City of New Orleans to be obscured by allowing train cars to be placed and/or kept on the side track immediately to the north of a grade crossing;
- d. Carelessly and negligently failed to properly time the grade crossing protection system and/or barriers to prevent vehicles from being allowed to enter a grade crossing without allowing sufficient time to clear the grade crossing, given the extremely high speed nature of train traffic crossing the grade crossing at the time and place aforesaid;
- e. Failed to properly and/or adequately inspect, repair, replace or maintain the relays of the grade crossing protection system;
- f. Failed to properly and/or adequately inspect, repair, replace, manage or maintain the cables and wires of the grade crossing protection system;
- g. Failed to properly and/or adequately inspect, repair, replace, manage or maintain the signal gates and all external and internal component parts thereof;
- h. Failed to properly and/or adequately train, supervise, or monitor its employees or agents responsible for maintaining, inspecting, repairing or replacing the grade crossing protection system and all of its component parts;

- i. Failed to properly and/or adequately examine, review, evaluate, read or check inspection and/or maintenance forms or reports made or kept by its employees or agents responsible for the grade crossing protection system;
- j. By and through its agents failed to keep a proper or sufficient lookout for road traffic on, at or near the grade crossing when operating the City of New Orleans;
- k. By and through its agents failed to properly or sufficiently control, slow or brake the City of New Orleans train as it approached the grade crossing;
- l. Placed or allowed to be placed railroad cars on side tracking to the south of the crossing that posed an unreasonable risk to foreseeable southbound rail traffic;
- m. Failed to properly or adequately install component parts of the grade crossing protection system, including, but not limited to, the Safetran 3000 system;
- n. Failed to properly or adequately monitor or adjust the grade crossing protection system to provide the warning times it deemed safe and/or adequate;
- o. Failed to properly or adequately monitor or adjust the grade crossing protection system to determine that it adequately predicted or sensed train movements.
- As a proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid negligent acts or omissions, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count II

#### Defendant, Safetran - Product Liability

- On and before March 15, 1999, and at the time SAFETRAN's grade crossing protective systems left its control, the grade crossing protective systems were in an unreasonably dangerous condition in that they were:
  - a. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold without proper or adequate instructions or directions as to their installation:
  - b. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold with a speed predictor system that did not provide consistently accurate predictions of train speeds;
  - c. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold without proper or adequate supervision or monitoring of installation;
  - d. Designed, manufactured, distributed or sold without giving proper or adequate speed predictions or warning times to either users of the rails or vehicle users approaching and/or crossing the grade crossing;
  - e. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold with sensor components that did not properly or adequately sense the presence of a train or the speed of a train;
  - f. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train/grade crossing warning system in such a way that it failed to properly time the lights and gates at the McKnight crossing to allow safe passage by John Stokes across the crossing;
  - g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train/grade crossing warning system without a suitable warning system to alert owners and operators that the system was malfunctioning.

51. As a proximate result of one or more of these unreasonably dangerous conditions.

SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count III

#### Defendant, Safetran - Negligence

- 52. On and before March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
  - a. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS without proper or adequate instructions or directions as to their installation;
  - b. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS with a speed predictor system that did not provide consistently accurate predictions of train speeds;
  - c. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS without proper or adequate supervision or monitoring of installation;
  - d. Designed, manufactured, distributed or sold a GCPS without giving proper or adequate speed predictions or warning times to either users of the rails or vehicle users approaching and/or crossing the grade crossing;
  - e. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS with sensor components that did not properly or adequately sense the presence of a train or the speed of a train;

- f. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS in such a way that it failed to properly time the lights and gates at the McKnight crossing to allow safe passage by John Stokes across the crossing;
- g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold a GCPS without a suitable warning system to alert owners and operators that the system was malfunctioning.
- As a proximate result of one or more of these negligent acts or omissions.

  SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant, SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count IV

#### Defendant, Safetran - Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- 54. On and before March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN breached the implied warranty of merchantability in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without proper or adequate instructions or directions as to their installation;
  - b. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS with a speed predictor system that did not provide consistently accurate predictions of train speeds;
  - c. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without proper or adequate supervision or monitoring of installation;

- d. Designed, manufactured, distributed or sold the GCPS without giving proper or adequate speed predictions or warning times to either users of the rails or vehicle users approaching and/or crossing the grade crossing;
- e. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS with sensor components that did not properly or adequately sense the presence of a train or the speed of a train;
- f. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS in such a way that it failed to properly time the lights and gates at the McKnight crossing to allow safe passage by John Stokes across the crossing;
- g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without a suitable warning system to alert owners and operators that the system was malfunctioning.
- As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of merchantability, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

SAFETRAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count V

## Defendant, Safetran/Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose

- 56. On and before March 15, 1999, SAFETRAN breached the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without proper or adequate instructions or directions as to their installation;

- b. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS with a speed predictor system that did not provide consistently accurate predictions of train speeds;
- c. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without proper or adequate supervision or monitoring of installation;
- d. Designed, manufactured, distributed or sold the GCPS without giving proper or adequate speed predictions or warning times to either users of the rails or vehicle users approaching and/or crossing the grade crossing;
- e. Designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS with sensor components that did not properly or adequately sense the presence of a train or the speed of a train;
- f. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS in such a way that it failed to properly time the lights and gates at the McKnight crossing to allow safe passage by John Stokes across the crossing;
- g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the GCPS without a suitable warning system to alert owners and operators that the system was malfunctioning.
- 57. As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

SAFETRAN, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit

Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count VI

#### Defendant, General Electric/Product Liability

- 58. On March 15, 1999, and at the time they left G.E.'s control, said train engines/locomotives were in an unreasonably dangerous condition in one or more of the following respects:
  - a. They were designed, manufactured, distributed and sold with insufficient durability to withstand a foreseeable collision and remain intact;
  - b. They were designed, manufactured, distributed and sold without a protective device to prevent fuel from escaping in the event a fuel tank was ruptured;
  - c. They were designed, manufactured, distributed and sold in such a way that the fuel tanks were not sufficiently protected from foreseeable crash forces;
  - d. They were manufactured, designed and sold with metal and component parts that ruptured due to their defective nature;
  - e. They were manufactured, designed and sold without proper pre-distribution testing or inspection;
  - f. They were manufactured, designed and sold without proper or adequate safeguards or adequate protective devices to prevent the continued flow of fuel out of the fuel tanks after the rupture or breach of the tanks;
  - g. They were designed, manufactured, distributed and sold in non-compliance with applicable standards, customs, practices, guidelines or rules.
- 59. As a proximate result of one or more of these unreasonably dangerous conditions, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant,
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional

limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count VII

#### Defendant, General Electric - Negligence

- 60. On and before March 15, 1999, G.E. was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
  - a. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives with insufficient durability to withstand a foreseeable collision and remain intact;
  - b. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives without a protective device to prevent fuel from escaping in the event a fuel tank was ruptured;
  - c. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives in such a way that the fuel tanks were not sufficiently protected from foreseeable crash forces;
  - d. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives with metal and component parts that ruptured due to their defective nature;
  - e. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper pre-distribution testing or inspection;
  - f. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper or adequate safeguards or adequate protective devices to prevent the continued flow of fuel out of the fuel tanks after the rupture or breach of the tanks;
  - g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives in non-compliance with applicable standards, customs, practices, guidelines or rules.
  - 61. As a proximate cause of one or more of these negligent acts or omissions,

SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count VIII

## Defendant, General Electric/Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability/Fuel Tank

- 62. On and before March 15, 1999, G.E. breached the implied warranty of merchantability in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives with insufficient durability to withstand a foreseeable collision and remain intact;
  - b. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives without a protective device to prevent fuel from escaping in the event a fuel tank was ruptured;
  - c. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives in such a way that the fuel tanks were not sufficiently protected from foreseeable crash forces;
  - d. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives with metal and component parts that ruptured due to their defective nature;
  - e. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper pre-distribution testing or inspection;
  - f. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper or adequate safeguards or adequate protective devices to prevent the continued

flow of fuel out of the fuel tanks after the rupture or breach of the tanks;

- g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engine/locomotive in non-compliance with applicable standards, customs, practices, guidelines or rules.
- As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of merchantability, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count IX

# Defendant, General Electric/Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose

- 64. On and before March 15, 1999, G.E. breached the implied fitness for a particular purpose in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives with insufficient durability to withstand a foreseeable collision and remain intact;
  - b. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives without a protective device to prevent fuel from escaping in the event a fuel tank was ruptured;
  - c. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives in such a way that the fuel tanks were not sufficiently protected from foreseeable crash forces;
  - d. It manufactured, designed and sold the train

engines/locomotives with metal and component parts that ruptured due to their defective nature;

- e. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper pre-distribution testing or inspection;
- f. It manufactured, designed and sold the train engines/locomotives without proper or adequate safeguards or adequate protective devices to prevent the continued flow of fuel out of the fuel tanks after the rupture or breach of the tanks;
- g. It designed, manufactured, distributed and sold the train engines/locomotives in non-compliance with applicable standards, customs, practices, guidelines or rules.
- 65. As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count X

#### Defendant, General Signal - Product Liability

- 66. On March 15, 1999 and at the time they left GENERAL SIGNAL's control and during the period intervening those dates, GENERAL SIGNAL's crossing gates were in an unreasonably dangerous condition in that:
  - a. They were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device that did not adequately or consistently allow the gate armature to descend in a timely fashion;

- b. They were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device and gearing system that was subject to jamming or delaying the adequate or consistent descent of the gate's arm;
- c. They failed to provide adequate wiring and wiring designs to provide adequate and consistent electrical and/or mechanical controls for the timely and/or consistent activation of the gate's warning mechanisms;
- d. They failed to provide adequate instructions in the maintenance or repair of the crossing gates;
- e. They failed to contain adequate or effect prep holes;
- f. They contained inadequate relays that caused ineffective and/or inconsistent activation of the crossing gate's warning system;
- g. They failed to provide safe or adequate or consistent warnings to users of the rail right of way or the road traffic, for a reasonably expected and indicated time period.
- As a proximate result of one or more of these unreasonably dangerous conditions.

  SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant, GENERAL SIGNAL CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count XI

#### Defendant, General Signal - Negligence

Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 47 as if fully set forth herein.

68. On and before March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL was negligent in one or

more of the following ways:

- a. It designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed the crossing gates with a hold clear device that did not adequately or consistently allow the gate armature to descend in a timely fashion;
- b. It designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed the crossing gates with a hold clear device and gearing system that was subject to jamming or delaying in the adequate or consistent descent of the gate's arm;
- c. It failed to provide adequate wiring and wiring designs to provide adequate and consistent electrical and/or mechanical controls for the timely and/or consistent activation of the gate's warning mechanisms;
- d. It failed to provide adequate instructions in the maintenance or repair of the crossing gates;
- e. It failed to contain adequate or effect prep holes;
- f. It contained inadequate relays that caused ineffective and/or inconsistent activation of the crossing gate's warning system;
- g. It failed to provide safe or adequate or consistent warnings to users of the rail right of way or the road traffic, for a reasonably expected and indicated time period.
- As a proximate result of one or more of these negligent acts or omissions,

  SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

GENERAL SIGNAL CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count XII

#### Defendant, General Signal/Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability

- 70. On and before March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL breached the implied warranty of merchantability in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. The crossing gates were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device that did not adequately or consistently allow the gate armature to descend in a timely fashion;
  - b. The crossing gates were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device and gearing system that was subject to jamming or delaying the adequate or consistent descent of the gate's arm;
  - c. Failed to provide adequate wiring and wiring designs to provide adequate and consistent electrical and/or mechanical controls for the timely and/or consistent activation of the gate's warning mechanisms;
  - d. Failed to provide adequate instructions in the maintenance or repair of the crossing gates;
  - e. Failed to contain adequate or effect prep holes;
  - f. Contained inadequate relays that caused ineffective and/or inconsistent activation of the crossing gate's warning system;
  - g. Failed to provide safe or adequate or consistent warnings to users of the rail right of way or the road traffic, for a reasonably expected and indicated time period.
- 71. As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of merchantability, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant.

GENERAL SIGNAL CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count XIII

## Defendant, General Signal/Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose

- 72. On and before March 15, 1999, GENERAL SIGNAL breached the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. The crossing gates were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device that did not adequately or consistently allow the gate armature to descend in a timely fashion;
  - b. The crossing gates were designed, manufactured, distributed, sold and installed with a hold clear device and gearing system that was subject to jamming or delaying the adequate or consistent descent of the gate's arm;
  - c. Failed to provide adequate wiring and wiring designs to provide adequate and consistent electrical and/or mechanical controls for the timely and/or consistent activation of the gate's warning mechanisms;
  - d. Failed to provide adequate instructions in the maintenance or repair of the crossing gates;
  - e. Failed to contain adequate or effect prep holes;
  - f. Contained inadequate relays that caused ineffective and/or inconsistent activation of the crossing gate's warning system;
  - g. Failed to provide safe or adequate or consistent warnings to users of the rail right of way or the road traffic, for a reasonably expected and indicated time period.

73. As a proximate result of one or more of these breaches of the implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant, GENERAL SIGNAL CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count XIV

#### Defendant, Birmingham Steel

- 74. On and before March 15, 1999, BIRMINGHAM was negligent in one or more of the following respects:
  - a. Failed to provide a safe or adequate manner of egress from its facility for semi-tractor trailers loaded with large amounts of ribar;
  - b. Overloaded the semi-tractor trailer with ribar;
  - c. Failed to provide proper or adequate warnings or instructions in the safe or proper manner of egress from its facility with the type of load of ribar it provided;
  - d. Failed to provide proper or adequate personnel to aid semitractor trailers with egress from the facility;
  - e. Placed, allowed to be placed, or failed to remove rail cars on its siding track immediately to the south of the McKnight crossing, from a location unreasonably close to the main track used by the City of New Orleans or other high speed trains;
  - f. Failed to provide semi-tractor truck users egressing from its facility with an adequate or proper line of sight to the north, when BIRMINGHAM knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known its failure to do so

caused an unreasonable risk, given the use and presence of high speed rail traffic;

- g. Caused obstructions of a northbound view for the semitractor trailer drivers egressing its facility;
- h. Through its agent or employee, John Stokes, approached and commenced to cross the aforesaid railroad grade crossing when the line of sight of the semi-tractor trailer driver was obscured:
- i. Through its agent or employee, John Stokes, commenced to transverse the aforesaid railroad grade crossing while an Amtrak train was in close proximity to the grade crossing;
- j. Through its agent or employee, John Stokes, failed to clear the railroad grade crossing in a safe or adequate manner when an oncoming southbound passenger train was at or about the crossing;
- k. Through its agent or employee, failed to give a safe or adequate warning, via lights, flashers, horns or other safety signals available of the truck's presence in the railroad grade crossing.
- 75. As a proximate result of one or more of these negligent acts or omissions,
  SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not
  limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendant,
BIRMINGHAM STEEL CORPORATION, a corporation, for a sum in excess of the
jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois.

#### Count XV

#### Defendants, Melco and Stokes

- 76. On and before March 15, 1999, MELCO and STOKES, and each of them, were negligent in one or more of the following respects:
  - a. Approached and commenced to cross the aforesaid railroad grade crossing when the line of sight of the semi-tractor trailer driver was obscured;
  - b. Commenced to transverse the aforesaid railroad grade crossing while an Amtrak train was in close proximity to the grade crossing;
  - c. Failed to clear the railroad grade crossing in a safe or adequate manner when an oncoming southbound passenger train was at or about the crossing;
  - d. Failed to give a safe or adequate warning, via lights, flashers, horns or other safety signals available of the truck's presence in the railroad grade crossing.
- 77. As a proximate result of one or more of these negligent acts or omissions,

  SHALAINE JOHNSON sustained injuries of a personal and pecuniary nature including but not

  limited to physical pain, suffering, terror and mental anguish.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, SHALAINE JOHNSON, demands judgment against defendants, MELCO TRANSFER, INC., an Illinois corporation, and JOHN STOKES, and each of them, for a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limit of the Law Division of the Circuit, Court of Cook County, Illinois.

Michael K. Demetrio
David C. Wise
CORBOY & DEMETRIO, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
33 North Dearborn Street, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60602
312-346-3191
Firm I.D. No. 02329

Rev. 12/96) Case:	1,01-cy-02180 D	CHALT #	<b>YOC</b>	EPP/SIPPEPP	43 of 44 Page	eID #:43
The JS-44 civil cover sheet a by law, except as provided by of the Clerk of Court for the p	/ local rules of court. This	n, approved t	ov the JL	e nor supplement the filing idicial Conference of the USTRUCTIONS ON THE REV	tilit vales in septi	adings or other papers as required ember 1974, is required for the use RM.)
. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Shalaine Johnso	on .					Co., Safetran Systems cric Company, et al.
					1C 8	2180
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	:e\	<del></del>	1 0001111 01 110010 0111	IRST LISTED DEFENDANT U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	
(EACEF)	IN U.S. PENINTIFF ONCE	.0,		NOTE: IN LAND COND TRACT OF LAN	EMNATION CASES,	USE THE LOCATION OF THE
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, A	ODRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUM	ABER)	75 1 1	ATTORNEYS (F KNOWN) Daniel E. Reid	les Tuno V (	
Michael K. Dem Corboy & Demet	etrio	<b>;</b>		Jason G. Wi	nchester	<b>上に 70 上</b>
33 N. Dearborn	St., 21st Floo	or		Jones, Day, Re 77 West Wacker	(	
Chicago, IL 6 (312) 346-3191					OGOL DADTE	SPACE ANAX IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTE
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (PLACE AN "X"	IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CI	or Diversity Cases Only)	F DEF	AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	nt Not a Party)	a	tizen of This State	i □ 1 Incorpora	ted or Principal Place 04 04 ness In This State
🗆 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	hip of Parties	C	tizen of Another State 💥	2   2 Incorpora of Busin	ted and Principal Place CI 5 (5) 165 ness in Another State
	în Item III)	·	C	itizen or Subject of a □: Foreign Country	3 □ 3 Foreign N MAGISTRA	EJUDGE LEVIN 6 06
IV. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN	I "X" IN	ONE BOX ONLY) Transferre	ed from	Appeal to District Judge from
Original Proceeding Y2 Rem	oved from 🗆 s Remar	nded from 🔲 4 late Court	Reinstat Reoper	tedior □sanotherd	istrict □ 6 Multid Litiga	
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE		<u> </u>	encode de la companya	n with the second of the second	a see a see see talka
CONTRACT	тог			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 362 Personal Injut		☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 1 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportlonment   410 Antitrust   450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.   460 Deportation
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liable  368 Asbestos Perinjury Product	Isnoa	50 Liquor Laws 5 R.R. & Truck 5 Son Airline Regs.	PROPERTY RIGH	
151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defautted Student Loans	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROF		660 Occupational Safety/Health	820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	\$70 Other Fraud \$71 Truth in Lend \$80 Other Person		LABOR	SOCIAL SECUR	ITY 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
of Veteran's Benefits 1 160 Stockholders'Suits 1 190 Other Contract 1 195 Contract Product Liability	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Dar 285 Property Dar Product Liab	nage nage	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (925 ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (49	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PET		☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting	☐ 864 SSID TIKIE XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ \$95 Freedom of Information Act ☐ \$00 Appeal of Fee Determination
218 Land Condemnation	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	() \$10 Motions to Vaca Sentence HABEAS CORPUS:		& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX S	UITS Under Equal Access to Justi
200 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Tons to Land 245 Tont Product Liability 200 All Other Real Property	Accommodations    444 Welfare   440 Other Civil Rights	☐ \$90 General ☐ \$95 Death Penal ☐ \$40 Mandamus ☐ \$50 Clyll Rights ☐ \$55 Prison Cone	& Other	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	2 870 Taxes (U.S. Plator Defendant) 371 FRS — Third Pr 26 USC 7609	<b>BOCKELED</b>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STA	TUTE UNDER WHICH	YOU ARE F	PLING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMI RSTY.)	ENT OF CAUSE.	MAR 2 9 2001
49 U.S.C. Se	ection 20701; ar				·	
		<u></u>	<del> </del>	DEMAND \$ N/A	CHECK	YES only if demanded in complain
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	CHECK IF THIS		TION			DEMAND: XYES DINO
VIII. This case	is not a refiling of case		nissed ac	xion. _, previously dismissed b	y Judge	
DATE		SIGNATURE C	F ATTOF	NEY OF RECORD	* 3.7	
03/28/01	Jan	e K.	1	dyp.	`	
	NAT COLUMN	•	i	Üΰ		₹., 1

Related to General Electric Company v. Billy E. Adkins, Administrator of the Estate of Helena R. Adkins, Deceased, No. 01 C 1307, Lefkow J.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COLAT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Eastern Division

In the Matter of

SHALAINE JOHNSON

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO., a corporation, et al.

01 C 2180

JUDGE PALLMEYER

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, DEFENDAN	T CELEVITY S			
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE LEVIN			
	STR 28			
<u></u>				
(A)	(B) CT PA U4			
SIGNATURE NAME K. BULLEY	SIGNATURE Con LEGION (1)			
June K. Ghezzi	Daniel E. Reidy			
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue	Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue			
street Address 77 West Wacker - Suite 3500	street Address 77 West Wacker - Suite 2500			
Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692	City/ṣtate/zip Chicago, Illinois			
TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 782-3939	TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 782-3939			
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 6185506	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 02306948			
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?  YES NO NO	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR? YES V NO			
TRIAL ATTORNEY? YES V NO	TRIAL ATTERNEY, YES NO NO			
	DESIGNATE BOOK COUNSEL! YES NO			
(C)	<b>(D)</b>			
symature) ch ( - Ch	SIGNATURE			
Jason G. Winchester	NAME			
Jones, DAy, REavis & Pogue	FIRM			
STREET ADDRESS 77 West Wacker - Suite 3500	STREET ADDRESS			
Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692	CITY/STATE/ZIP			
TELEPHONE NUMBER (312) 782-3939	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 6238377	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)			
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?  YES  NO	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?  YES  NO			
TRIAL ATTORNEY?  YES  NO	TRIAL ATTORNEY?  YES NO			
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?  YES  NO	DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?  YES NO			

1/2